

identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy PUBLIC COPY

B5

FILE:

Office: VERMONT SERVICE CENTER

Date: MAY 0 9 2006

EAC 03 010 53774

IN RE:

Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

PETITION:

Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced

Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration

and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision of the Administrative Appeals Office in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

Robert P. Wiemann, Chief Administrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The employment-based immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks classification pursuant to section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(2), as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree. The petitioner asserts that an exemption from the requirement of a job offer, and thus of a labor certification, is in the national interest of the United States. The director found that the petitioner qualifies for classification as a member of the professions holding an advanced degree, but that the petitioner had not established that an exemption from the requirement of a job offer would be in the national interest of the United States.

Review of Citizenship and Immigration Services (CIS) records indicates that, simultaneously with the instant petition, the petitioner filed another Form I-140 petition under a different classification, with receipt number EAC 03 017 50146. CIS records further indicate that the other petition was approved on July 12, 2005. The alien had concurrently filed a Form I-485 Application to Adjust Status, receipt number EAC 03 017 50134, which was also approved on July 12, 2005. Because the alien has adjusted to lawful permanent resident status, further pursuit of the matter at hand is moot.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed, based on the alien's lawful permanent resident status.